

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/705,873	11/13/2003	Mark L. Younie	05165.1340	6292
7590 08/23/2006			EXAM	MINER
	STETLER LLP	WOLLSCHLAGER, JEFFREY MICHAEL		
	ıare, Suite 1100 ut Avenue, N.W.	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
WASHINGTON, DC 20036			1732	
			DATE MAILED: 08/23/200	6

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Ар	plication No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Summary		10	/705,873	YOUNIE ET AL.	YOUNIE ET AL.				
		Ex	aminer	Art Unit					
		Jef	f Wollschlager	1732					
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communic or Reply	ation appears	on the cover sheet	with the correspondence ac	idress				
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FO CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MA nsions of time may be available under the provisions of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this commut period for reply is specified above, the maximum stature to reply within the set or extended period for reply we reply received by the Office later than three months after the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ILING DATE 37 CFR 1.136(a). nication. itory period will app ill, by statute, cause	OF THIS COMMUN In no event, however, may bly and will expire SIX (6) Mo the application to become	IICATION. a reply be timely filed  DNTHS from the mailing date of this of ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status									
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed	on 13 Noven	nber 2003.						
•	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This action is non-final.								
3)	, <del>-</del>								
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Dispositi	on of Claims								
4)⊠	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-31</u> is/are pending in the application.								
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
5)	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
6)	Claim(s) is/are rejected.								
7)	) Claim(s) is/are objected to.								
8)⊠	8) Claim(s) 1-31 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.								
Applicati	on Papers								
9)[	The specification is objected to by the	Examiner.							
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a	a) accepted	d or b)□ objected to	by the Examiner.					
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).									
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.									
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119								
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim fo  All b) Some * c) None of:		•	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
	<ul> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> </ul>								
	3. Copies of the certified copies of			• •	Stane				
	application from the Internation	•			Oluge				
* 8	see the attached detailed Office action	•		ot received.					
Attachmen	t(s)								
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)			Summary (PTO-413)					
	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTonation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or P			o(s)/Mail Date f Informal Patent Application (PT)	O-152)				
	r No(s)/Mail Date	. 5/55/00)	6)  Other: _		·				

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- Claims 1-20, 27, and 31, drawn to an apparatus, classified in class 425, subclass 389.
- II. Claims 21-26 and 28-30, drawn to a method of producing an item using a mold plug, classified in class 264, subclass 219.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I and II are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another and materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case, the apparatus can be used to practice another and materially different process such as a chemical absorption/extraction process. Further, the pressing step within the method can be practiced by hand.

Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art in view of their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper. Additionally, searching the different inventions would create an undue burden for the examiner.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the

Art Unit: 1732

requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

## Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jeff Wollschlager whose telephone number is 571-272-

Application/Control Number: 10/705,873

Art Unit: 1732

8937. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Thursday 7:00 - 4:45, alternating Fridays.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Christina Johnson can be reached on 571-272-1176. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

 $\omega \mathcal{L}$ 

Jeff Wollschlager Examiner Art Unit 1732

August 18, 2006

CHRISTINA JOHNSON PRIMARY EXAMINER

8/21/06

Page 4